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## General Structure of the Approach

## Translating TAGs to Monadic CFTGs

$\Rightarrow$ Lifting of Monadic Context-Free Tree Languages
$\Rightarrow$ Logical Characterization of the lifted Monadic CFTG-Languages
$\Rightarrow$ Retrieval of the Intended Structures via MSO-Definable Transductions

- trees as relational structures
- trees as elements of a free algebra
- trees as elements of a free clone (Lawvere theory)


## Tree Adjoining Grammars

## Tree Adjoining Grammars

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle V_{N}, V_{T}, S, I, \mathcal{A}\right\rangle \\
V_{N} \text { is a finite set of nonterminals } \\
V_{T} \text { is a finite set of terminals } \\
S \in V_{N} \text { is the start symbol } \\
I \text { is a finite set of initial trees } \\
\mathcal{A} \text { is a finite set of auxiliary trees }
\end{gathered}
$$

Def'n from Joshi and Schabes 1997

## Derivation Steps in TAGs


$\langle\{S\},\{a, b, c, d\}, S,\{\alpha\},\{\beta\}\rangle$


## An example derivation



## Tree Grammars

## Tree Grammars (CFTG \& RTG)

A context-free tree grammar $\Gamma$ is a 5 -tuple $\langle\Sigma, \mathbf{F}, S, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}\rangle$, with
$\Sigma, \mathbf{F} \quad$ ranked alphabets of inoperatives and operatives;
$S \in \mathbf{F}$ the starting symbol;
X
a countable set of variables; and
a set of productions.

## Tree Grammars (CFTG \& RTG)

The $p \in \mathbf{P}$ have the form

$$
F\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow t
$$

with $F \in \mathbf{F}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in \mathbf{X}$, and $t$ a term over $\Sigma \cup \mathbf{F} \cup\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$.
An application of a rule $F\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow t$ "rewrites" a subtree rooted in $F$ as the tree $t$ with its respective variables substituted by $F$ 's daughters.
Tree grammars with $\mathbf{F}_{n}=, n \neq 0$, are called regular.

## Derivation Steps in CFTGs



## Monadic CFTGs

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \longrightarrow a \\
A & \longrightarrow B(C) \\
A(x) & \longrightarrow a\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{i-1}, x_{i},, B_{i+1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right) \\
A(x) & \longrightarrow B_{1}\left(B_{2}\left(\ldots B_{n}(x) \ldots\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem (Mönnich 1997, Fujiyoshi \& Kasai 2000)
TAGs are equivalent to monadic CFTGs.

## Monadic CFTG $\mathcal{G}$ for $a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} d^{n}$

$$
\bar{S}_{2}(x) \longrightarrow S_{t}(\bar{b}, x, \bar{c})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}=\left\langle\left\{a, b, c, d, \varepsilon, S_{t}, S_{t}^{0}\right\},\left\{S, S^{\prime}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{S}_{1}, \bar{S}_{2}\right\}, S^{\prime},\{x\}, \mathbf{P}\right\rangle \\
& S^{\prime} \longrightarrow S(\varepsilon) \\
& S(x) \longrightarrow \bar{S}_{1}\left(S\left(\bar{S}_{2}(x)\right)\right) \\
& S(x) \longrightarrow S_{t}^{0}(x) \\
& \bar{S}_{1}(x) \longrightarrow S_{t}(\bar{a}, x, \bar{d}) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\bar{a} \longrightarrow a \\
\bar{b} \longrightarrow b
\end{array} \\
& \bar{c} \longrightarrow c \\
& \bar{d} \longrightarrow d
\end{aligned}
$$

## An example derivation
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## Regular Tree Grammars

$\Gamma=\left\langle\Sigma, \mathrm{F}_{0}, S, \mathrm{P}\right\rangle$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma & =\left\langle\Sigma_{w, s} \mid w \in \mathcal{S}^{*}, s \in \mathcal{S}\right\rangle \\
\mathrm{F}_{0} & =\left\langle\mathrm{F}_{\varepsilon, s} \mid s \in \mathcal{S}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$S \in \mathrm{~F}_{0}$ is the starting symbol
$P$ is a set of productions
The $p \in \mathrm{P}$ have the form

$$
F \longrightarrow t
$$

with $F \in \mathrm{~F}_{\varepsilon, s}$, and $t$ a term over $T\left(\Sigma \cup \mathrm{~F}_{0}\right)$.

## Derivation Steps in RTGs




## Overview of the Two-Step Approach

## Derivation Trees (MSO, RTG, FSTA)

Mildly-Contextsensitive Structures
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## From (M)CFTGs to RTGs: Intuition

- simple primitive-recursive function
- control structure is made visible
- all function symbols become constants
- the resulting grammar is is regular,
- and therefore expressible by an MSO formula
- and can be translated into an FSTA.


## From (M)CFTGs to RTGs: Intuition

- simple primitive-recursive function
- control structure is made visible
- all function symbols become constants
- the resulting grammar is is regular,
- and therefore expressible by an MSO formula
- and can be translated into an FSTA.

$$
f(a, b) \rightsquigarrow\left(f \circ\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right) \circ(a, b) \rightsquigarrow
$$



## Lifting

For $k \geq 0, \operatorname{LIFT}_{k}^{\sum}: T\left(\Sigma, \mathbf{X}_{k}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\Sigma^{L}, k\right)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{LIFT}_{k}^{\Sigma}\left(x_{i}\right)=\pi_{i}^{k} \\
& \operatorname{LIFT}_{k}^{\Sigma}(f)=c_{(0, k)}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \text { for } f \in \Sigma_{0} \\
& \operatorname{LIFT}_{k}^{\Sigma}\left(f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)= \\
& c_{(n, k)}\left(f^{\prime}, \operatorname{LIFT}_{k}^{\Sigma}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{LIFT}_{k}^{\Sigma}\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \text { for } f \in \Sigma_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Lifted Example Grammar $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{\prime} \longrightarrow c_{(1,0)}(S, \varepsilon) \\
& S \longrightarrow c_{(1,1)}\left(\bar{S}_{1}, c_{(1,1)}\left(S, c_{(1,1)}\left(\bar{S}_{2}, \pi_{1}^{1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& S \longrightarrow c_{(1,1)}\left(S_{t}^{0}, \pi_{1}^{1}\right) \\
& \bar{S}_{1} \longrightarrow c_{(3,1)}\left(S_{t}, a, \pi_{1}^{1}, d\right) \\
& \bar{S}_{2} \longrightarrow c_{(3,1)}\left(S_{t}, b, \pi_{1}^{1}, c\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## An example derivation of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$
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## An example derivation of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{S}_{1} \longrightarrow c_{(3,1)}\left(S_{t}, a, \pi_{1}^{1}, d\right) \\
& \bar{S}_{2} \longrightarrow c_{(3,1)}\left(S_{t}, b, \pi_{1}^{1}, c\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## An example derivation of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ (cont'd)



## Coding RTGs in MSO Logic (Thomas 1997)

- Define a tree automaton $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{G}^{\prime}}$ from the RTG $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$
- Code its behaviour in $\varphi_{\mathfrak{A}_{G^{\prime}}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\exists X_{0}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)\left[\bigwedge_{i \neq j}(\neg \exists y)\left[y \in X_{i} \wedge y \in X_{j}\right] \wedge\right. \\
& \quad(\forall x)\left[(\neg \exists y)[x \triangleleft y] \rightarrow x \in X_{0}\right] \wedge \quad \text { \% Initial State } \\
& \bigwedge_{1 \leq n \leq m}\left(\forall x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y\right)\left[\bigvee_{\substack{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}, \sigma, j\right) \in \alpha \\
1 \leq k \leq n}} x_{k} \in X_{i_{k}} \wedge y \triangleleft x_{k} \wedge y \in X_{j} \wedge y \in P_{\sigma}\right] \\
& \bigvee_{1 \leq n}(\exists x \forall y)\left[x \triangleleft^{*} y \wedge x \in X_{i}\right] \quad \text { \% Root }
\end{aligned}
$$

# Interpreting the Intended Structures 

## Reconstructing the Intended Structures

- The intended tree is contained in the lifted tree
- Homomorphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(f^{\prime}\right) & =f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { for } f \in \Sigma_{n} \\
h\left(\pi_{i}^{n}\right) & =x_{i} \\
h\left(c_{(n, k)}\left(t, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right) & =h(t)\left[h\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(t_{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Goal: Definition of a set of intended relations:

$$
R^{I}=\left\{\triangleleft^{*}(\text { Dominance }), \triangleleft, \triangleleft^{+}, \varangle(\text { Precedence }), \ldots\right\}
$$

b) MSO-Transduction

## MSO definable transductions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R} \rightsquigarrow Q \\
\left(\varphi, \psi,\left(\theta_{q}\right)_{q \in Q}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\varphi$ the domain of the transduction $\psi$ the resulting domain of $Q$ $\theta_{q}$ the new relations

## A few general facts



1. Our trees feature three families of labels: the "linguistic" symbols $L=\mathcal{T}^{L} \cup \mathcal{N}^{L}$, where $\mathcal{T}=\Sigma_{0}$ of the underlying (M)CFTG and $\mathcal{N}=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Sigma_{n}$; the "composition" symbols $\mathrm{C}=\bigcup_{n, k \geq 0} c_{n, k}$; and the "projection" symbols $\Pi$.

## A few general facts


2. All non-terminal nodes in $T^{L}$ are labeled by some $c \in \mathrm{C}$. No terminal node is labeled by some $c$.

## A few general facts


3. The terminal nodes in $T^{L}$ are either labeled by some "linguistic" symbol or by some "projection" symbol $\pi \in \Pi_{i}$.

## A few general facts


4. Any "linguistic" node dominating anything in the intended tree is on some left branch in $T^{L}$, i.e., it is the left-most daughter of some $c \in \mathrm{C}$.

## A few general facts


5. For any node $p$ labeled with some "projection" symbol $\pi \in \Pi_{i}$ in $T^{L}$ there is a unique node $n$ (labeled with some $c \in \mathrm{C}$ by (2.)) which properly dominates $p$ and whose $i$-th sister (to the right) will eventually evaluate to the value of $\pi$. Moreover, $n$ will be the first node properly dominating $p$ which is on a left branch.
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## The tree-walking automaton $A_{\triangleleft}$



## The walking language $W_{\triangleleft}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{\triangleleft}=\mathbf{L}(x) \cdot \uparrow_{1} \cdot\left(\downarrow_{2} \cup \downarrow_{3} \cup \downarrow_{4}\right) \cdot\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} W_{\Pi_{i}} \cup W_{\mathbf{C}}\right)^{*} \cdot \mathbf{L}(x) \\
W_{\mathbf{C}}=\mathbf{C}(x) \cdot \downarrow_{1} \\
W_{\Pi_{i}}=\Pi_{i}(x) \cdot\left(\uparrow_{2} \cup \uparrow_{3} \cup \uparrow_{4}\right)^{*} \cdot \uparrow_{1} \cdot \downarrow_{i+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$W_{\triangleleft}$ can be inductively translated into an $M S O$-formula trans $_{W_{\triangleleft}}(x, y)$.

## Logical Reconstruction of Precedence: $x \varangle y$

Terminal Nodes: $x$ and $y$ are terminal nodes and for some internal node $z$ dominating $x$ and $y$ and for the paths $X$ and $Y$ used by the TWA to connect $z$ with $x$ and $y$, respectively, the first leaf node on $X$ precedes the first leaf node on $Y$.

Internal Nodes: $x$ and $y$ are internal nodes of the intended tree and every terminal node which $x$ dominates precedes (in the lifted tree) every terminal node that $y$ dominates.

## The tree-walking automaton $A_{\varangle}$



## Intended Structures via MSO transduction

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\varphi, \psi,\left(\theta_{q}\right)_{q \in Q}\right) \\
Q=\left\{\triangleleft, \triangleleft^{*}, \triangleleft^{+}, \varangle, \ldots\right\} \\
\varphi=\phi_{\mathfrak{I}_{G^{\prime}}} \\
\psi=\mathrm{L}(x) \\
\theta_{\triangleleft}=\operatorname{trans}_{W_{\triangleleft}}(x, y) \\
\theta_{\triangleleft^{*}}=(\forall X)[\triangleleft-\operatorname{closed}(X) \vee x \in X \rightarrow y \in X] \\
\theta_{\triangleleft^{+}}=x \triangleleft^{*} y \vee x \not \approx y \\
\theta_{\varangle}=(\exists u, v)\left[u \triangleleft^{*} x \wedge v \triangleleft^{*} y \wedge \operatorname{trans}_{W_{\varangle}}(u, v)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$\theta_{\text {labels }}=$ as in the old structure $R$

## Conclusion
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## Outlook

## Courcelle/Makowsky (Draft of July 2000)

> Every MS definable transduction has a natural contravariant counterpart called their backwards translation mapping, an MS formula expressing a property of the object structure into an MS formula expressing the same property on the input structure.

...
In particular, MS decidability results and existence of linear algorithms are easily obtained once a class of structures is recognized to be the image by a transduction of a class of trees, ...

## Appendix: Some more Details

## Lifting

# Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a ranked alphabet. The derived $\mathbb{N}$-sorted alphabet $\Sigma^{L}$ is defined as follows: 

For each $n \geq 0$,

$$
\Sigma_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{f^{\prime} \mid f \in \Sigma_{n}\right\}
$$

is a new set of symbols of sort $n$;
back to Lifting

## Lifting

# Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a ranked alphabet. The derived $\mathbb{N}$-sorted alphabet $\Sigma^{L}$ is defined as follows: 

for each $n \geq 1$ and each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
\pi_{i}^{n}
$$

is a new symbol, the $i$ th projection symbol of sort $n$;
back to Lifting

## Lifting

# Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a ranked alphabet. The derived $\mathbb{N}$-sorted alphabet $\Sigma^{L}$ is defined as follows: 

for each $n \geq 0, k \geq 0$ the new symbol

$$
c_{(n, k)}
$$

is the $(n, k)$ th composition symbol.
back to Lifting

## Lifting

Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a ranked alphabet. The derived $\mathbb{N}$-sorted alphabet $\Sigma^{L}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{0}^{L} & =\Sigma_{0}^{\prime} \\
\Sigma_{n}^{L} & =\Sigma_{n}^{\prime} \cup\left\{\pi_{i}^{n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
\Sigma_{n, k}^{L} & =\left\{c_{(n, k)}\right\} \text { for } n, k \geq 0 \\
\Sigma_{i}^{L} & =\text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Model-Theoretic Interpretation

## Basic Idea (Rabin 1965)

Obtaining a structure $\mathbb{B}=\langle B, Q\rangle$ from a structure $\mathbb{A}=\langle A, \mathcal{R}\rangle$ where $\mathcal{R}$ and $Q$ are families of relation symbols.
back to MSO transductions

## Tree-Walking Automaton

A tree-walking automaton (with tests) (Bloem and Engelfriet 1997) over some ranked alphabet $\Sigma$ is a finite automaton $\mathfrak{A}=(Q, \Delta, \delta, I, F)$ with states $Q$, directives $\Delta$, transitions $\delta: Q \times \Delta \rightarrow Q$ and the initial and final states $I \subseteq Q$ and $F \subseteq Q$ which traverses a tree using three kinds of directives:
$\uparrow_{i}$ "move up to the mother of the current node (if it has one and it is its $i$-th daughter)",
$\downarrow_{i}$ "move to the $i$-th daughter of the current node (if it exists)",
$\phi(x)$ "verify that $\phi$ holds at the current node".

## Regular Tree-Node Relations

For any tree $t$, such a tree-walking automaton $\mathfrak{A}$ computes a node relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{t}(\mathfrak{A})= & \left\{(x, y) \mid\left(x, q_{i}\right) \stackrel{*}{\Longrightarrow}\left(y, q_{f}\right)\right. \text { for } \\
& \text { some } \left.q_{i} \in I \text { and some } q_{f} \in F\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for all states $q_{i}, q_{j} \in Q$ and nodes $x, y$ in $t$

$$
\left(x, q_{i}\right) \Rightarrow\left(y, q_{j}\right) \text { iff } \exists d \in \Delta:\left(q_{i}, d, q_{j}\right) \in \delta
$$

and $y$ is reachable from $x$ in $t$ via $d$.
If all the tests $\phi(x)$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ are $M S O$ definable, $\mathfrak{A}$ specifies a regular tree-node relation, which is itself $M S O$ definable. back to $A_{\triangleleft}$

## Reflexive transitive closure in $M S O$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R-\operatorname{closed}(X) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longrightarrow}(\forall x, y)[x \in X \wedge R(x, y) \rightarrow y \in X] \\
R^{*}(x, y) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longrightarrow}(\forall X)[R-\operatorname{closed}(X) \wedge x \in X \rightarrow y \in X]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Walking language $\Rightarrow M S O$-formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trans}(x, y) & =\perp \\
\operatorname{trans}_{\downarrow i}(x, y) & =\operatorname{edge}_{i}(x, y) \\
\operatorname{trans}_{\uparrow_{i}}(x, y) & =\operatorname{edge}_{i}(y, x) \\
\operatorname{trans}_{\phi(x)}(x, y) & =\phi(x) \wedge x=y \\
\operatorname{trans}_{W_{1} \cup W_{2}}(x, y) & =\operatorname{trans}_{W_{1}}(x, y) \vee \operatorname{trans}_{W_{2}}(x, y) \\
\operatorname{trans}_{W_{1} \cdot W_{2}}(x, y) & =\exists z\left(\operatorname{trans}_{W_{1}}(x, z) \wedge \operatorname{trans}_{W_{2}}(z, y)\right) \\
\operatorname{trans}_{W^{*}}(x, y) & =\operatorname{trans}_{W}^{*}(x, y) \\
\operatorname{trans}_{W}^{*}(x, y) & =\forall X\left(\forall v, w\left(v \in X \wedge \operatorname{trans}_{W}(v, w) \rightarrow w \in X\right)\right. \\
& \wedge x \in X \rightarrow y \in X)
\end{aligned}
$$

